Ed-Schools 38338-14 A V.S

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

UNITED STATES INDIAN SERVICE

RENO. NEVADA.

April 13, 191

APR 19 1915

Tejon Indians.

E-SOHOOLS Rec'd

The Commissioner of Indian Affairs,
Washington D. C.

APR 1 9 1916

Sir:

Referring to the above letter of March 17, 1915, I know of nothing special to report as to the condition of the Tejon Indians, in addition to what has already been reported in the former correspondence. These Indians were the subject of Office letter: Land-Allotments 38388-14; 44459-14; 68210-14; 75281-14; HVC and of my letter of August 18, 1914, with which I enclosed a copy of a letter, addressed to the company owning the Tejon Ranch.

was being maintained for them, at the expense of the county.
They were holding school in a little chapel room, that probably belonged to the Catholic Church. I have had no report as to this school for the present year, but will correspond at once with the county superintendent and ascertain whether the school is being maintained and whether in his opinion, it is advisable for us to contribute for its support. From my present information, I would favor the building of a small school house and paying a reasonable tuition for these chilatren, in order that the Government may cooperate with the

II.

county in the education of these children. We would probably have to have the permission of the owners of the Tejon Ranch, to build such school house, but I cannot conceive that they would object to that, but they might be reluctant to give us a title to the land on which the building would be built and as I understand it, we could not get authority to expend notey in the erection of a building, unless we had a title in fee to the land. If this was found to be the case, we might pay a more liberal tuition for a year or the to enable the distance to provide themselves with a building.

As has been shown by the correspondence referred to above, these indians are living on private property, by consider of the owners of that property and they seem to be reasonably prosperous and to have specific of a monopoly in contain that apportunities on this result.

we can handly place a field matron there, without the approved of the ranch and even if such matron was placed child, she might profine the interests of these indicate, and as the was very diplometic. At the present, I doubt the advisor, bility of completing such a matron. However, I do belieffed it would be show proper for as to comparate with the completing in maintaining a pulse for the children.

Yery mespectately (1777 2000)